

STRENGTHS AND ADVANTAGES 1

of 2

CLEAR OBJECTIVES & GOALS

Clear objective and joint agreement on roles and responsibilities.
A unique goal that is not addressed in the same way by anyone else

WELL-BEING FOCUS

Strong well-being focus, has positive impact on many people, enhancing humanitarian emergency response & helping the most vulnerable. Does not generate negative social, economic or environmental effects

DEDICATED GROUP

Small and dedicated group brought together by the same goal. No duplication of efforts, other approaches to reach the goal have been considered



CLEAR PRINCIPLES

Globally recognized principles are observed, MoU, principles in place guiding the purpose, design and action, mandates and guides in place identifying what should/should not be done.

WELL DEFINED GOVERNANCE

Clearly defined governance system in place that is suited to the mission and challenges of the partnership. Partnership activities are monitored and evaluated, and intentions and outcomes are being publicly communicated.

RESILIENCE

The partnership is aware of the importance of resilient to shocks and changes.

STRENGTHS AND ADVANTAGES

2 of 2

RELEVANT PARTNERS

Partners have influence, resources and capabilities in relevant areas. The partnership makes use of the members available resources, influence, expertise and experience.

ROLE ASSIGNMENT

Roles assigned to each member within their ability and authority to perform.

FINANCIAL CONTROL

Costs and benefits allocated fairly and risk are shared among the members.
Performance is cost-efficient.



BROAD PARTICIPATION

Broad based participation and engagement by partners.

Partners understand each other's differences in approach and thinking and are actively working towards the goals.

CAPABLE LEADERS

Has capable leaders and a clear source of day-to-day operational leadership, communication and coordination.

MOTIVATED INDIVIDUALS

Individuals representing their organisations are motivated, and have with the skills, capacity, influence and decision-making authority to collaborate effectively.

CHALLENGES AND WEAKNESSES

1 of 2

SHAKING THE STATUS-QUO

Some practitioners view the partnership's efforts negatively/as a threat as it could lead to changing practices they are already used to.

NO PARTNERSHIP FORMALITIES

Only implicit partnering principles in place (such as transparency, shared risk). No mechanism for soliciting inputs and achieving consensus on goals and strategy. No formal means of negotiating differences, no resources to build trust between members, no powerful means of resolving disputes (not necessarily needed as the partners are few and share the same goal).

RESPONSIBILITIES DEFINITION

No member agreements describing the roles and responsibilities.



NO FORMAL POWER STRUCTURE

No vulnerable people in empowered roles or within the formal power structures to demonstrate local ownership.

DIVERSITY

Diverse types of organisations or size of organization not included in the partnership (not necessarily a weakness). Open to diverse membership with varied capabilities but do not necessarily have them.

PERFORMANCE CAN BE IMPROVED

Practices not taking full advantage of the collaboration's potential. No processes or technology in place to provide integrated, consistent and efficient performance.

CHALLENGES AND WEAKNESSES

2 of 2

LOW MEMBER DIVERSITY


Partners are consistently re-assessed but adjustments are difficult to make (female underrepresentation and narrow geographical scope).

TIME EFFICIENCY

Local stakeholders are not engaged actively. Performance is not time-efficient (challenge of working with UN).

PARTNERSHIP GROWTH

Opportunities to scale the reach and impact of the partnership are not being actively pursued.



LEGAL AND FINANCIAL ORGANISATION

Does not yet have an appropriate legal and financial organisation in place to effectively manage finances.

FEEDBACK MECHANISMS

Feedback mechanism could be strengthened to adapt work from lessons learned.


EXTERIOR THREATS

The threats that come from the outside are a key challenge that limits the work of the partnership.

Partnership Assessment Score


GHAEA

Partnership Category Score




Intent Score

GHAEA




Architecture Score

GHAEA




Execution Score

GHAEA



Sustainability & Growth Score

GHAEA



Capacity Score

GHAEA

